



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,633	09/25/2006	Steinar Bjornstad	OSL-038	6061
3897	7590	11/19/2009	EXAMINER	
SCHNECK & SCHNECK			AGA, SORI A	
P.O. BOX 2-E			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, CA 95109-0005			2476	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/19/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/586,633

**Applicant(s)**

BJORNSTAD, STEINAR

**Examiner**

SORI A. AGA

**Art Unit**

2476

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 July 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/CD)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Amendment***

Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks mailed 07/16/2009 has been entered and carefully considered. Claims 1,2,4-7,13,14 and 19 are amended, claims 20 and 21 are added, and no claims are cancelled. As a result, claims 1-21 are now pending.

As a result of applicant's amendment, the 35 USC 112 rejection of claims 4-7 and 19 is withdrawn.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 2 and 5-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al.(US 2004/0151171 A1) (herein after Lee) in view of

Heinz et al. (US 2003/0076846) (herein after Heinz) and Guild et al. (US 2004/0037561) (herein after Guild).

*Regarding claim 1*, Lee teaches a switch within an asynchronous communication network comprising, one or more outputs and a buffer unit communicating with the switch, wherein the buffer unit is adapted to buffer the data until a predefined number of wavelengths leading to a buffered destination is vacant [See figure 2 and paragraph 0060 lines 19-24 where **an a large capacity optical router including an optical switch is shown and where a determination is made to determine whether an available wavelength channel exists and if there is no (predefined number of wavelength = zero) available wavelength channel, the data frame waits in the buffer**]. However, Lee does not explicitly teach asynchronous network. However, Heinz teaches Asynchronous Transfer Mode using an Ethernet-optical switch [see paragraph 0037 line 19]. It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art to use the optical switch in an ATM compatible network in order to take advantage of one of the fastest growing segments of telecommunication allowing of services that offer various speed, latency reliability, security and QOS parameters.

However, Lee does not explicitly teach the predefined number is greater than one. However, Guild, in the same field of endeavor, teaches selecting the number of wavelengths selected for transmitting data can be based on packet lengths by allocating a wavelength to data packets of a particular size which results in 'n' number of wavelengths needed [see **paragraphs 0020-0023; see also fig. 1**]. It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art to buffer one or more of the groups packets disclosed in Lee until 'n' number of (where  $n > 1$ ) wavelengths are available. This is desirable because it enhances the transmission efficiency of an optical network, as well as minimizing the variation in the arrival times of transmitted packets of data.

*Regarding claim 2*, Lee teaches the switch of claim 1 wherein the switch monitors to detect a number of vacant wavelengths at the switch outputs [Lee Paragraph 0060 lines 18-20 where a controller checks the state of the output wavelength channel]. However, Lee does not explicitly teach the predefined number is greater than one. However, Guild, in the same field of endeavor, teaches selecting the number of wavelengths selected for transmitting data can be based on packet lengths by allocating a wavelength

to data packets of a particular size which results in 'n' number of wavelengths needed [see paragraphs 0020-0023; see also fig. 1]. It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art to buffer one or more of the groups packets disclosed in Lee until 'n' number of (where  $n > 1$ ) wavelengths are available. This is desirable because it enhances the transmission efficiency of an optical network, as well as minimizing the variation in the arrival times of transmitted packets of data.

***Regarding claim 5***, Lee teaches the switch of claim 1 wherein the buffer unit has inputs with data originating from lines external to the switch [see figure 2 'inputs 1-n' and Paragraph 0037 lines 3-6 where the inputs for the optical router are incoming from an IP router (external lines)].

***Regarding claim 6***, Lee teaches the switch of claim 5 as discussed above. However, Lee does not explicitly teach the external lines are lines from aggregation inputs. However, Heinz teaches an Ethernet-Optical switch for use in a ring network at a metropolitan exchange [see paragraph 0009 lines 14-20 and paragraph 0030 lines 27-29]. It would have been obvious for a

person having ordinary skill in the art use external lines that are lines from aggregation inputs, namely metro access rings. This is desirable because it allows for the provision of a system that can be used to improve the speed and reliability of data communications networks for small to medium sized companies in a metropolitan area networks.

***Regarding claim 7***, Lee teaches the switch of claim 1, wherein the buffer unit has an input and the data, at the buffer unit input is routed from a one or more switch inputs [see figure 2 ‘inputs 1-n’ and Paragraph 0037 lines 3-6 where the inputs for the optical router are incoming from an IP router (switch inputs)].

***Regarding claim 8***, Lee teaches the switch of claim 1, where the switch is selected to operate within one of the following networks among the group consisting of an optical packet switched network, an optical bursts switched network, an electronic packet switched network, a WDM network, and an electronic bursts switched network [see paragraph 0003 lines 1-3 where the optical router exchanges data traffic such as IP packets in optical frames (optical packet switched network)].

***Regarding claim 9***, Lee teaches the switch of claim 5, where the switch is an optical switching unit [see **figure 2 ‘40’ and paragraph 0023 lines 1-4** where a large capacity optical router including a plurality of input ports is shown].

***Regarding claim 10***, Lee teaches the switch according to claim 5, where the switch is an electronic switching unit [see **paragraph 0043 line 1** where the switch includes an electronic switch].

***Regarding claim 11***, Lee teaches the switch of claim 7, where at least one of the output or input signals of the switch are WDM [see **paragraph 0038 lines 1-4** where the inputs are WDMs].

***Regarding claim 12***, Lee teaches the switch of claim 9, where the buffer is an electronic type of buffer [see **paragraph 0019 line 6** where the buffer is shown to be an electronic buffer].



*Regarding claim 13*, Lee teaches a method for organizing data flows in an communication network including at least one switch, where said switch is associated with at least one buffer and at least a dataflow that can be divided into data packets, comprising: communicating buffered data to the switch, and buffering the data in the buffer unit until a predefined number of wavelengths leading to a buffered packets destination is vacant [**See figure 2 and paragraph 0060 lines 19-24 where an a large capacity optical router including an optical switch is shown and where a determination is made to determine whether an available wavelength channel exists and if there is no (predefined number of wavelength = one) available wavelength channel, the data frame waits in the buffer**]. However, Lee does not explicitly teach asynchronous network. However, Heinz teaches Asynchronous Transfer Mode using an Ethernet-optical switch [**see paragraph 0037 line 19**]. It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art to use the optical switch in an ATM compatible network in order to take advantage of one of the fastest growing segments of telecommunication allowing of services that offer various speed, latency reliability, security and QOS parameters.

However, Lee does not explicitly teach the predefined number is at least two. However, Guild, in the same field of endeavor, teaches selecting the number of wavelengths selected for transmitting data can be based on packet lengths by allocating a wavelength to data packets of a particular size which results in 'n' number of wavelengths needed [see paragraphs 0020-0023; see also fig. 1]. It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art to buffer one or more of the groups packets disclosed in Lee until 'n' number of (where  $n \geq 2$ ) wavelengths are available. This is desirable because it enhances the transmission efficiency of an optical network, as well as minimizing the variation in the arrival times of transmitted packets of data.

*Regarding claim 14*, Lee teaches the method of claim 13 further defined by monitoring to schedule data from the buffer unit to an output of the switch [Lee Paragraph 0060 lines 18-20 where a controller checks the state of the output wavelength channel]. However, Lee does not explicitly teach the predefined number is at least two. However, Guild, in the same field of endeavor, teaches selecting the number of wavelengths selected for transmitting data can be based on packet lengths by allocating a wavelength

to data packets of a particular size which results in 'n' number of wavelengths needed [see paragraphs 0020-0023; see also fig. 1]. It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art to buffer one or more of the groups packets disclosed in Lee until 'n' number of (where  $n > 1$ ) wavelengths are available. This is desirable because it enhances the transmission efficiency of an optical network, as well as minimizing the variation in the arrival times of transmitted packets of data.

***Regarding claim 15***, Lee teaches the method of claim 13 further defined by buffering data packets into a number of queues according to parameters of the data packets [see paragraph 0043 lines 1-4 where the input data is switched by destination (parameter of data packet) and sent to the buffers].

3. Claims 3,4 and 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee, Guild and Heinz as applied to claims 1, 2 and 5-15 above, and further in view of Ohba (US 6,101,193) (herein after Ohba).

***Regarding claim 3***, Lee teaches the switch of claim 1 as discussed above.

However, Lee does not explicitly teach the data and buffered packets are classified according to one of (a) packet data length and (b) length of non-packet data. However, Ohba teaches arranging packets based on packet length [see column 8 lines 62-65]. It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art to classify packets according to packet data length. This is desirable because it helps to make the network improve the fairness characteristics in a short time scale by suppressing the burstiness of traffic.

***Regarding claim 4***, Lee teaches the switch of claim 3 as discussed above.

However, Lee does not explicitly teach at least one data packet with a length within a first range is associated with a first queue, a further data packet with a length within a second range is associated with a second queue, and a still further packet with a length within a third range is associated with a third queue. However, Ohba teaches [see column 8 lines 66-67 and column 9 lines 1-11 where packets with packet lengths with less than or equal to 100 bytes (first range) are entered into the packet length designated queue A1 or B1; and where packets with packet lengths with less than

**or equal to 300 bytes and more than 100 bytes (second range) are entered into the packet length designated queue A2 or B2; and where packets with packet lengths with less than or equal to 500 bytes and more than 300 bytes (third range) are entered into the packet length designated queue A3 or B3]** It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art to classify packets according to packet data length. This is desirable because it helps to make the network improve the fairness characteristics in a short time scale by suppressing the burstiness of traffic.

*Regarding claim 16*, Lee teaches the method of claim 13 as discussed above. However, Lee does not explicitly teach the method further comprises associating data packets with a length within a first range with a first queue. However, Ohba teaches [see column 8 lines 66-67 and column 9 lines 1-2 **where packets with packet lengths with less than or equal to 100 bytes (first range) are entered into the packet length designated queue A1 or B1]**. It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art to classify packets according to packet data length. This is desirable because

it helps to make the network improve the fairness characteristics in a short time scale by suppressing the burstiness of traffic.

***Regarding claim 17***, Lee teaches the method of claim 13 as discussed above. However, Lee does not explicitly teach associating data packets with a length within a second range with a second queue. However, Ohba teaches [see column 9 lines 2-6 where packets with packet lengths with less than or equal to 300 bytes and more than 100 bytes (second range) are entered into the packet length designated queue A2 or B2]. It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art to classify packets according to packet data length. This is desirable because it helps to make the network improve the fairness characteristics in a short time scale by suppressing the burstiness of traffic.

***Regarding claim 18***, Lee teaches the method of claim 13 as discussed above. However, Lee does not explicitly teach the method further comprises associating data packets with a length within a third range with a third queue. However, Ohba teaches [see column 9 lines 6-10 where packets

**with packet lengths with less than or equal to 500 bytes and more than 300 bytes (third range) are entered into the packet length designated queue A3 or B3].** It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art to classify packets according to packet data length. This is desirable because it helps to make the network improve the fairness characteristics in a short time scale by suppressing the burstiness of traffic.

*Regarding claim 19,* Lee teaches the method of claim 13 as discussed above. However, Lee does not explicitly teach associating a length of each data packet with a one of a predefined number of ranges of data packet lengths where each range is associated with a specific queue. However, Ohba teaches [see column 9 lines 6-10 where packets with packet lengths with less than or equal to 500 bytes and more than 300 bytes (predefined range) are entered into the packet length designated queue A3 or B3]. It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art to classify packets according to packet data length. This is desirable because it helps to make the network improve the fairness characteristics in a short time scale by suppressing the burstiness of traffic.

***Regarding claim 20***, Lee teaches the method of claim 19 as discussed above. However, Lee does not explicitly teach the predefined number of vacant wavelengths is specific to each queue. However, Guild, in the same field of endeavor, teaches associating a particular wavelength for a data stream of a particular packet length after reclassifying incoming packet according to their lengths [see paragraphs 0020-0023; see also fig. 1]. It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art make wavelengths specific to each queue having packets of a particular size. This is desirable because it enhances the transmission efficiency of an optical network, as well as minimizing the variation in the arrival times of transmitted packets of data.

***Regarding claim 21***, Lee teaches the switch of claim 1 as discussed above. However, Lee does not explicitly teach a plurality of queues in the buffer unit, each queue being associated with a distinct range of data packet lengths. . However, Ohba teaches [see column 9 lines 6-10 where packets with packet lengths with less than or equal to 500 bytes and more than 300 bytes (predefined range) are entered into the packet length



**designated queue A3 or B3].** It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art to have a plurality of queues, each queue being associated with a distinct range of data packet lengths. This is desirable because it helps to make the network improve the fairness characteristics in a short time scale by suppressing the burstiness of traffic.

However, Lee does not explicitly teach the predefined number of vacant wavelengths are characteristic to each queue. However, Guild, in the same field of endeavor, teaches associating a particular wavelength for a data stream of a particular packet length after reclassifying incoming packet according to their lengths [see **paragraphs 0020-0023; see also fig. 1**]. It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art make wavelengths specific to each queue having packets of a particular size. This is desirable because it enhances the transmission efficiency of an optical network, as well as minimizing the variation in the arrival times of transmitted packets of data.

***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SORI A. AGA whose telephone number is (571)270-1868. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on (571)272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. A. A./

Application/Control Number: 10/586,633

Page 19

Art Unit: 2476

Examiner, Art Unit 2476

/Ayaz R. Sheikh/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2476